



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS

TCF Evaluation:JUL-NOV 2022

Employee ID :008841

Faculty Name : Prashanth

Course No :CS2700

Course Name : Programming and Data Structures

Responses / Regn :85/99

Department :Computer Science and Engineering

Summary						
Evaluation	Mean	Median	Std Dev	MAD	Dept Mean	Institute Mean
Course	0.70	0.72	0.21	0.16	0.79	0.80
Instructor	0.72	0.73	0.21	0.13	0.82	0.83

Question-Wise Response								
Question No	SA	A	N	DA	SDA	NA	Mean	Institute Mean
1	23	32	18	9	3	0	0.75	0.82
2	22	25	23	10	5	0	0.72	0.81
3	21	24	19	10	11	0	0.68	0.84
4	20	28	16	13	8	0	0.69	0.81
5	23	22	15	8	17	0	0.66	0.81
6	31	31	17	3	3	0	0.80	0.83
7	23	31	16	8	7	0	0.73	0.80
8	29	28	14	8	6	0	0.76	0.78
9	16	16	20	14	18	1	0.60	0.75
10	22	21	20	9	12	1	0.68	0.78
11	30	31	12	5	7	0	0.77	0.81

NOTE:SA(STONGLY AGREE)=10 A(AGREE)=8 N(NEUTRAL)=6 DA(DISAGREE)=4 SDA(STONGLY DISAGREE)=2 NA(Not Applicable/Do not wish to answer)=0

Question list
1.The course objectives were stated clearly and met largely
2.The concepts of the course were communicated well
3.The instructor was enthusiastic about the topics presented
4.The examples/case-studies/illustrations used in the class improved the learning experience
5.The quizzes and exams were graded in an impartial and timely manner
6.The instructor was punctual and followed the class schedule closely
7.The course was planned and structured well
8.The course motivated me to explore the subject area with interest
9.The involvement of TAs helped effectively in improving the learning experience
10.Tutorials and assignments were conducted effectively
11.Overall, the course provided a good value-addition to my knowledge/skill-set

NOTE:Qn 1 to 6 - Instructor evaluation : Qn 7 to 11 - Course evaluation

Student Remarks

not the best for the course

There were instances of people going to washroom and checking answers on their phones during the quiz tutorial. Requesting for more strict checking.

The tutorials are either given from Goodrich or from past papers which is not at all fair. people who do those can answer them easily and as it was a an rg course,it is disadvantage to many people

The involvement of TAs was the only bad thing about the course

tutorials conducted regularly were very helpful as well as the slides and notes shared by the prof it is an interesting subject

The course was good and nicely done.

Satisfactory.

Tutorials can be made easier

course is nice

Good professor,but it would be great if more examples were illustrated in class.Tutorials are not corrected properly,TAs are useless.Tutorials expect from us to memorize the things not to learn them properly. By the way,good sense of humour of professor.

tutorial helps us to study every week and made us to keep in flow.good teaching .good sense of humour.some students who are introverts cant raise their voice to ask ques,so I request the professor not to skip some new topics of some toppers say they knew the topic. TAs poor tutorial correction.Ques in tutorial are not stated correctly and lead us to find ambiguities in that.good interaction with students.

The way of teaching does not help us in improving our coding skills. It would be nice if we were taught with codes.

Not satisfied

The content of the course was good, and I felt the questions papers for tutorials and quizzes could have been better in the sense they are very easy or very difficult. And for tutorials sometimes its very hard to come up with an efficient algorithm in very short time. And the evaluation for quiz 1 is done nicely, but for tutorials 1,2 they didnt go well as we expected. Overall, the course is very important so its better if you had ensure perfection in every aspect.

Number of students who were not willing to participate in the evaluation for this course:1

Comments by students who didn't fill the TCF for this Course

.