



INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS

TCF Evaluation:JAN-MAY 2019

Employee ID :008841

Faculty Name : Prashanth

Course No :CS5691

Course Name : Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning

Responses / Regn :63/174

Department :Computer Science and Engineering

Summary						
Evaluation	Mean	Median	Std Dev	MAD	Dept Mean	Institute Mean
Course	0.77	0.80	0.20	0.08	0.80	0.78
Instructor	0.78	0.80	0.19	0.07	0.82	0.81

Question-Wise Response								
Question No	SA	A	N	DA	SDA	NA	Mean	Institute Mean
1	18	27	9	3	5	1	0.76	0.82
2	19	27	11	2	3	1	0.78	0.80
3	15	24	12	8	3	1	0.73	0.84
4	16	26	13	5	2	1	0.76	0.80
5	19	30	7	4	2	1	0.79	0.81
6	28	24	7	2	1	1	0.85	0.84
7	13	29	10	6	4	1	0.73	0.80
8	25	24	9	2	2	1	0.82	0.77
9	13	28	11	3	7	1	0.72	0.73
10	15	26	12	5	3	2	0.75	0.78
11	26	23	9	2	2	1	0.82	0.80

NOTE:SA(STONGLY AGREE)=10 A(AGREE)=8 N(NEUTRAL)=6 DA(DISAGREE)=4 SDA(STONGLY DISAGREE)=2 NA(Not Applicable/Do not wish to answer)=0

Question list
1.The course objectives were stated clearly and met largely
2.The concepts of the course were communicated well
3.The instructor was enthusiastic about the topics presented
4.The examples/case-studies/illustrations used in the class improved the learning experience
5.The quizzes and exams were graded in an impartial and timely manner
6.The instructor was punctual and followed the class schedule closely
7.The course was planned and structured well
8.The course motivated me to explore the subject area with interest
9.The involvement of TAs helped effectively in improving the learning experience
10.Tutorials and assignments were conducted effectively
11.Overall, the course provided a good value-addition to my knowledge/skill-set

Student Remarks
Concepts were taught carefully and clearly. PAs were helpful in understanding the topics. Data contest was fun. Lot of concepts initially planned to be taught were not taught. Many times I felt the instructor was negligent. Sometimes saying that he is not teaching some particular concepts because he himself doesnt know them and needs time to learn them. Like this, some topics were delayed. And I feel that was the reason for missing some of the initially planned topics.
the professor did not have any experience in this field, and that affected the quality of the course. The quality of teaching between the two classes was very different. prof. Prashanth missed several concepts, and spent most of the time in class doing trivial differentiation calculations instead of teaching core ML concepts.I hope the CS department will give important and popular courses like these to qualified faculty from the next semester. otherwise, this course is a waste of 15 credits.
Please teach at the same pace. It was just right to understand the concepts and work on problems after class hours. All other professors teach too fast that I never understood much in their courses.
The course contents were not properly organized. The teaching was not effective and lacks enthusiasm
satisfactory
the course was well taught.But personally I feel few more topics should have been covered.thanks
Asking students to enroll under one teacher, and putting them in different batch under different teacher without their consent is unfair. Teaching was not as per the expectation. TAs were irresponsible and not helpful at all.
Sir please first of all look at the students faces or ask them and try to analyse whether they are understanding anything or not rather than just going in your own flow without any breakage as if you are in a big hurry to complete the syllabus on time.
I did course in 5 departments but this course is really excellent. Learnt so many things in the course. I may have bad grade but I learning is important. The way assignment are designed is excellent. Help from TA is actually good.Really thankful to professor for such a great course. There are certain things that I can criticize but others are there for that.
Good course , instructor could have been more enthusiastic and experienced for teaching this course
Course was taught from a high theoretical point of view.I think it should be somewhat less theoretical and more practical which is missing.The prof is very slow paced not because he is teaching less but because he wants to give a deep theoretical explanation for the very few topics he taught.I dont think people are going to use LinearRegression to the end.Why dont u spend more time in teaching current good(better ones) algos.Assignments are good though practical dataprocessing problems are mis
Since it was an introductory course a lot of us hoped on learning introduction to many new concepts of machine learning, but the pace of the classes were very slow and at the end only a few number of topics was covered and no problem sheets given for practice in a 15 credit course , other than the first one. Not worth 15 credits at all.

Number of students who were not willing to participate in the evaluation for this course:26

Comments by students who didn't fill the TCF for this Course
not registered
I am not in his section
Professor Prashant is not our instructor
.
he didnt teach
Had the other course instructor. Filled that.
not my teacher
not applicable
not my instructor

not my instructor

he didnt teach us

.

he is not my course instructor

Not applicable, he was not my class teacher

not my teacher.

not my instructor

he didnt teach us the course

Not my instructor

Not in my class

I attended the other faculties course

not our teacher

same course by two professors. did not attend this version. attended the other version

not my professor

not applicable

NA

he is not my faculty