

**CS6046: Multi-armed bandits**  
**Homework - 1**  
**Course Instructor : Prashanth L.A.**  
**Due : Feb-12, 2018**

## Theory exercises

1. Suppose  $X_1, X_2$  are  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ -subgaussian random variables (r.v.s), respectively. (2+1 marks)

- (a) Show that  $X_1 + X_2$  is  $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ -subgaussian.  
(b) If  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are independent, then  $X_1 + X_2$  is  $\sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}$ -subgaussian.

2. True or False? (Justify your answer) (1+1+1.5+1.5 marks)

- (a) A r.v.  $X$  distributed as  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  for some  $\mu, \sigma > 0$  is subgaussian.  
(b) A r.v.  $X$  distributed as  $\text{Unif}[5, 10]$  is subgaussian.  
(c) Consider a r.v.  $X$  satisfying  $\mathbb{E}(\exp(\lambda X)) \leq \exp\left(\frac{\lambda^2 \sigma^2}{2} + \lambda \mu\right)$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,  $EX = \mu$ .  
(d) For the r.v.  $X$  as in the question above,  $\text{Var}(X) = \sigma^2$ .

3. For a  $K$ -armed stochastic bandit problem, with  $m = n^{2/3}(\log n)^{1/3}$ , show that the regret  $R_n$  of the explore-then-commit (ETC) algorithm satisfies

$$R_n \leq cn^{2/3}(K \log n)^{1/3},$$

for some universal constant  $c$ . (5 marks)

4. Consider the following bandit algorithm:

**$\epsilon$ -greedy algorithm**

**For  $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , repeat**

- (1) Let  $i_t$  be the arm with the highest sample mean so far, i.e.,  
 $i_t = \arg \max_{k=1, \dots, K} \hat{\mu}_k(t-1)$ , where  $\hat{\mu}_k(t-1)$  is the average of rewards obtained from arm  $k$  upto time  $t$ .  
(2) With probability  $1 - \epsilon_t$ , play arm  $i_t$  and with probability  $\epsilon_t$ , play a random arm.

For a two-armed bandit problem, show that the regret  $R_n$  incurred by the  $\epsilon$ -greedy algorithm, with  $\epsilon_t = 1/t^{1/3}$ , satisfies

$$R_n \leq cn^{2/3}(\log n)^{1/3},$$

for some universal constant  $c$ . (5 marks)

5. Consider the following game that proceeds over  $n$  rounds: In each round  $t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , you choose either to play or do nothing. If you do nothing, then your reward is  $X_t = 0$ . If you play, then your reward is  $X_t = 1$  with probability  $p$  and  $X_t = -1$  otherwise. You do not know  $p$  and we will assume it could take any value in  $[0, 1]$ .

Answer the following: (1+1+2+2+2 marks)

- (a) Formulate the game above as a stochastic bandit problem with horizon  $n$ .
- (b) Write down the expression for the regret incurred by any algorithm  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- (c) Describe an optimal way of choosing actions, i.e., the best algorithm, when  $p$  is known.
- (d) For the unknown  $p$  case, apply ETC algorithm to the bandit problem formulated above and derive a bound on its regret.
- (e) Does exploiting the fact that the reward is zero for “doing nothing” lead to an improved regret bound for ETC?

## Simulation exercise

Consider a two-armed bandit problem, where each arm’s distribution is Bernoulli. Consider the following three problem variants, with respective Bernoulli distribution parameters specified for each arm:

Problem	Arm 1	Arm 2
P1	0.9	0.6
P2	0.9	0.8
P3	0.55	0.45

Write a program (in your favorite language) to simulate each of the above bandit problems. In particular, do the following for each problem instance: (10 marks)

1. Choose the horizon  $n$  as 10000.
2. For each algorithm, repeat the experiment 100 times.
3. Store the number of times an algorithm plays the optimal arm, for each round  $t = 1, \dots, n$ .
4. Store the regret in each round  $m = 1, \dots, n$ .
5. Plot the percentage of optimal arm played and regret against the rounds  $t = 1, \dots, n$ .
6. For each plot, add standard error bars.

Do the above for the following bandit algorithms:

- The explore-then-commit (ETC) algorithm with exploration parameter  $m$  chosen optimally so that the gap-dependent regret is minimum (this choice for  $m$  would require information about underlying gap).
- The ETC algorithm with a heuristic choice for exploration parameter  $m$ . Try different values for  $m$  and summarize your findings, say by tabulating regret for different  $m$ .

Interpret the numerical results and submit your conclusions. In particular, discuss the following: (2+3 marks)

1. Explain the results obtained for ETC with optimal  $m$  and correlate the results to the theoretical findings.

2. Explain the results obtained for ETC with a heuristic choice for  $m$ . In particular, how does ETC with a  $m$  that is far from the optimal, perform?

Here is what you have to submit:

**Theory exercises (Q1-5):** Hand-written (or typed) answer with concrete justification.

**Simulation exercise:** Include the following:

- Source code, preferably one that is readable with some comments;
- Plots/tabulated results in a document (or you could submit printouts of plots); and
- Discussion of the results - either hand-written or typed-up.